

This is Google's cache of <https://thedonald.win/p/11RO7TxoO8/x/c/>. It is a snapshot of the page as it appeared on Jan 14, 2021 23:25:43 GMT. The [current page](#) could have changed in the meantime. [Learn more](#).

**Full version**   [Text-only version](#)   [View source](#)

Tip: To quickly find your search term on this page, press **Ctrl+F** or **⌘-F** (Mac) and use the find bar.

---

Win / TheDonald ▼

[Sign In](#) ≡

↑ [Hot](#) ▼

[All Posts](#) ▼

⚙ [Settings](#)

Win is taking on Big Tech

[CREATE AN ACCOUNT](#)

COMMUNITIES

🏠 [All](#)

🌐 [General](#)

😄 [Funny](#)

🐾 [Animals](#)

🏈 [Sports](#)

🎮 [Gaming](#)

👍 [Positive](#)

💓 [Health](#)

🔧 [DIY](#)

👤 [Privacy](#)

📌 [Meta](#)

ACCOUNT

👤 [Profile](#)

📁 [Saved](#)

^ [Upvoted](#)

🔍 [Hidden](#)

🔔 [Messages](#)

OTHER COMMUNITIES

[TheDonald](#)

[OmegaCanada](#)

[IP2Always](#)

[Conspiracies](#)

[GavinMcInnes](#)

[ConsumeProduct](#)

[KotakuInAction](#)

[KotakuInAction2](#)

[WeekendGunnit](#)

[TuckerCarlson](#)

[GreatAwakening](#)

[Changelogs](#)



[TheDonald](#) [America First!](#)

- [Discord](#)
- [Twitter](#)
- [Facebook](#)
- [Instagram](#)



[hot](#) [new](#) [rising](#) [top](#)



[Sign In](#) or [Create an Account](#)



Win uses cookies necessary for site functionality, as well as for personalization. By using this site, you agree to our use of cookies as described in our Privacy Policy.

OK, I agree.

^6176 v

[I'm Dave Lobue and I was the last testimony at the Georgia Senate Hearing talking about our data evidence of vote drops. Ask Me Anything](#)

posted 14 days ago by [Brick](#) [\(edited\)](#) +6178 / -2

Hi TDW!

I'm Dave Lobue, and you might have watched our testimony from DIG (Data Integrity Group) at the Georgia Senate hearing yesterday: <https://rumble.com/vcay7j-data-scientists-shocking-election-testimony.html>

Here's where you can find a list of our videos:

<https://rumble.com/user/ElectionNightFacts>

I've got 12 years experience in data science and analytics. I have a BA in Philosophy, Masters in Business/Marketing from Grenoble Ecole de Management in France, and currently I'm pursuing a Masters in Data Science from Northwestern University specializing in Artificial Intelligence.

I'd love to talk to you about our findings, answer your questions as to our data and methodologies, and maybe even talk a little bit about the voting systems. Mostly other members of the team handle the systems side, so my primary focus is on the data and the story that the data tells.

I'll echo something that another team member (Justin Mealey) posted in his AMA: please forget what you've heard about the poll pads. It's sending people down the wrong paths of analysis, and what Jovan said about the poll pads and hacking into them is not correct.

**EDIT: Thank you for all the questions! We've got to get back to the analysis, as you all know what's at stake in finishing this work before the 6th. Take care everyone!**

Hi TDW! I'm Dave Lobue, and you might have watched our testimony from DIG (Data Integrity Group) at the Georgia Senate hearing yesterday: <https://rumble.com/vcay7j-data-scientists-shocking-election-testimony.html> Here's where you can find a list of our videos: <https://rumble.com/user/ElectionNightFacts> I've got 12 years experience in data science and analytics. I have a BA in Philosophy, Masters in Business/Marketing from Grenoble Ecole de Management in France, and currently I'm pursuing a Masters in Data Science from Northwestern University specializing in Artificial Intelligence. I'd love to talk to you about our findings, answer your questions as to our data and methodologies, and maybe even talk a little bit about the voting systems. Mostly other members of the team handle the systems side, so my primary focus is on the data and the story that the data tells. I'll echo something that another team member (Justin Mealey) posted in his AMA: please forget what you've heard about the poll pads. It's sending people down the wrong paths of analysis, and what Jovan said about the poll pads and hacking into them is not correct. # EDIT: Thank you for all the questions! We've got to get back to the analysis, as you all know what's at stake in finishing this work before the 6th. Take care everyone!

^\_6176 ▼

[396 comments share...](#)

[396 comments share](#) [save](#) [hide](#) [report](#) [block](#) [hide child comments](#)



Comments (396)

sorted by:  ▼

▲ 371 ▼

= [-f-b-i-](#) [M] 371 points 14 days ago +372 / -1 stickied

Verified

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 254 ▼

= deleted 254 points 14 days ago +257 / -3

▲ 332 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 332 points 14 days ago +333 / -1

Our teams' view is that regardless of whether or not it will be enough, we have to do absolutely everything possible to show what happened from an unbiased data perspective.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 142 ▼

= [SutopSutolf](#) 142 points 14 days ago +143 / -1

It's enough for us. Never enough for them.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 105 ▼

– [AnomalousCitizen7375](#) 105 points 14 days ago +106 / -1

"For those who believe, no proof is necessary. For those who don't believe, no proof is possible." -  
Stuart Chase

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 73 ▼

– [FairElection](#) 73 points 14 days ago +73 / -0

So true. I showed to my husband the video of scanning the same ballots three times in secrecy. One can not get better evidence than that. He said well maybe the ballots are supposed to be scanned multiple times. And surely the computer will catch the duplicates.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 126 ▼

– [non-entity](#) 126 points 14 days ago +126 / -0

He must be really handsome to make up for being dumb as a box of rocks.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 51 ▼

– [FairElection](#) 51 points 14 days ago +51 / -0 [\(edited\)](#)

Well the funny thing is that he under normal circumstance had been recognized as a very intelligent person. He was recruited into John Hopkin gifted program when he was young, and IQ tested at 183 by the program.(Each IQ test has its own ceiling score, so do not confuse this number with Einstein's 160. I believe the program then has much higher ceiling score than now) Skipped entire high school, got three degrees in computer science, math and physics in three years, and later on got MS and PhD in computer science. I have never seen him working so hard to explain away things as he did in regarding hunter biden laptop thing and this election. The scary thing is that he is not alone. All our friends are like him, quite intelligent and good at their technical field, a few of them are quite famous scientists. But yet they all suffer TDS syndrome. In their view, Trump is bad, as bad as could be, therefore anything remotely associated with Trump is also bad. If one ask them the evidences of badness of Trump, well, it all goes down to the way how Trump speaks. I do not like many of Trump's speaks and twitter msgs as well, but his policies are way better than the past few presidents and I also understand Trump speaks in a way more exaggerated. I understand this tendency, so it did not bother me. But for a technical person likes my husband, it apparently is the biggest sin. Many technical person has some of autistic traits. One of the obvious weakness is they tend to interpret things literally, very literally. That is how you got the left running away with Trump's comment about bleach.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 56 ▼

– [Wankerton](#) 56 points 14 days ago +56 / -0

Yeah my mother is pretty smart but she said she wants trump out of office at all costs. Yes, even if it ment the destruction of the United states.... TDS is real.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 39 ▼

– [americandrunk](#) 39 points 14 days ago +39 / -0 [\(edited\)](#)

This is now known as the class war between the professional class and common class.

The people working in cubicles (professional class) do not have a good grasp of risk management the way common class people do. Adam Carolla explains it very well here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=laGw78w5b3s>

EDIT (SOMEONE NOTICED MY ERROR, THIS IS THE CORRECT TIME STAMP) Skip to 51min 25s mark (sorry time link doesn't work for this video for some reason so plain link for you).

So, what basically happened over the last 30 years as we put more and more people into cubicles instead of real jobs is that two completely different cultures developed with completely different values. The professional class ONLY knows their own world view because they are surrounded by peers that believe the same thing. The common class, on the other hand, is surrounded by the ignorance of the professional class because the professional class is in charge of all of the power levers in society and therefore know everything the professional class knows + everything the professional class is oblivious to.

So, what you get is basically the common class (td.win) having a value system where everyone and every view is welcome as long as you agree to have a fair and reasonable conversation. And then you have the professional class where they are so blinded by only being exposed to their own viewpoints, they have become the low information class, narcissistic and inward looking. Where the common class revels in honest conversation, the professional class ostracizes ANYONE that even dares to go against the common cultural narrative that they share. At this point in time, their entire cultural norms are driven by fear of being ostracized BECAUSE once you are out of the group you can NEVER AGAIN WORK in the professional class. And, none of these people could either survive in a common class job nor can they physically imagine ever working / living like that. As of 2020, their entire culture is fear based.

People say that you cannot change people's mind. Well kinda yes and kinda no. If you want to put everyone on the same page, you need to force the professional class to work on a farm for a few years. The human mind absorbs value systems in a few different ways, one of the primary ways is through the environment. Change the environment and you change their value system.

Now, obviously, this would be difficult to do. Unfortunately, the crazy lockdowns are driving the economics in a direction where the professional class may find themselves on the same side of the guillotine as Marie Antoinette, because they don't realize that BLM and most of the people that they think are on their side hate them with a passion and they don't realize just how alone they are. So who knows.

But, that is how that works.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 27 ▼

– PNW PEPE 27 points 14 days ago +27 / -0

Trump never actually said the word "bleach" -- he said "disinfectant" -- bleach is a disinfectant, yes, but disinfectants are a range of chemicals -- including quats ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quaternary\\_ammonium\\_cation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quaternary_ammonium_cation)) which are antibacterial including against enveloped viruses (COVID is an enveloped virus).

I don't think Trump is the most scientific with his words. Maybe he meant disinfectant, maybe he meant antiseptic instead, a very similar term, also used for antibiotics/antivirals, those include quinolones which includes hydroxychloroquine. Personally, when I watched that clip, I did not think he was suggesting bleach, it sounded like he was suggesting strong IV antivirals / antibiotics. MSM says "oh of course he meant bleach!" yet they fail to acknowledge that simple manipulation of words can lead you to very reasonable suggestions, or in their case, very unreasonable suggestions. It's ridiculous the games people play with words to fit their narrative.

Here's the clip again in case you want to rewatch.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zicGxU5MfwE>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 25 ▼

– [JessHarper](#) 25 points 14 days ago +25 / -0

I work with a few of these types. It also goes back to ties to academia. The highly educated, and especially the highly technical/scientific, put incredible amounts of stock in their reputation around the academic community because it is the foundation of their identity. Dissent in the ranks is not tolerated. You think as you are told or everything you've ever worked for becomes bullshit and quackery in the eyes of the community and you become an outcast.

For example, you write a white paper that you just know is going to be the thing someone looks back on someday to say "We've finally cracked the code on why ducks always quack on one foot. We couldn't have done it without this guys work, he will be forever cited in any paper about ducks from now on. He is hereby deemed one of the most important experts on ducks in the history of the universe.", it's peer reviewed, everyone loves it, your legacy is set in stone as soon as the next guy makes his breakthrough using your data. But... you accidentally say you're pro-life or not an atheist on record, or on social media, or to the wrong associate at the office party. Or maybe you think Trumps wall is a pretty good idea... or in my experience, you just refuse to say it's a bad idea! Well, sorry bud, but your work is now garbage. Debunked, trash, worthless. You're clearly a moron and a lunatic, and nothing that you do will ever count again. Everything you've done is now retroactively garbage, regardless of how much they loved it when you released it.

That's how it works. Exactly how the extremist liberals work on social media.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– deleted 8 points 14 days ago +9 / -1

▲ 3 ▼

– [Po0P\\_d0LLa](#) 3 points 14 days ago +4 / -1

And the incredibly small penis

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 53 ▼

– [entwickeInden](#) 53 points 14 days ago +53 / -0

I suggest you buy something nice for yourself online, and repeat the order. And tell him well you thought the computer will catch the duplicates...

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– [FairElection](#) 7 points 14 days ago +9 / -2

Well, yes and no. The valid point is that each ballot ought to have unique barcode, and it will be wonderful for the computer to catch the duplicates. But in this case, I do not think the voting machine is equipped to handle that. He acknowledged that the people working at the scanner are not catching the duplicates, so he came up a scheme that someone remotely working in a server might handle duplicates, which in my view is absurd. Because as programmers we knew to handle errors when occurred, rather than ignore, ignore and ignore till someone handle them in a big batch. There are so many issues with his proposals. Anyway he suffers TDS.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 13 ▼

– [babyface](#) 13 points 14 days ago +13 / -0

I have family like this (without the high IQ sometimes).

Their parents were Democrats. They were Democrats when Kennedy was in office. They consider themselves the "good guys", "for the working man", they "care".

To admit that Orange Man isn't bad, is to admit that their side is wrong. To admit that they had to cheat is to admit that they have been on the losing side for at least a decade if not longer.

They can't face the cognitive dissidence of accepting that reality, so they will do anything to deny it.

What's interesting is, the smarter the person, the more they'll resist. People who aren't very bright will admit to having been a fool this last election. But in the smart person's mind, the gears will start to turn - it's not this election, it's going back decades, which means every single person they admired benefitted or was complicit, which means the party has been a lie going back decades, which means their entire worldview is based on a lie, which means they are an absolute blind duped fool and have been their whole life. Most smart people can't and won't do down to the end of that road - they won't be able to admit the truth to themselves.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [entwickeinden](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

but there were people remotely handling duplicates... ask your hubby why that is OK. why go through all that trouble instead of hand counting everything then. also the barcode doesnt solve the issue of repeat scanning. it requires user interaction with the computer. when you take multiple scans of a batch of ballots, which scan is the "correct" one? if its a batch of 50 and 1 ballot doesnt scan "right" do you keep rescanning the batch (thats their process) until they are all "right"? In that case of the ballots that didnt have issues are all their scanned results the same or just "right"? Sorry to hear about your husband. He really does need to open his eyes and his mind. it is the ppl like him, and friends of mine to be sure, that are allowing the destruction of our society.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)


▲ 3 ▼

– [MemeWarBoot](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

And to prove the computer will catch dupes we can audit the source code... right?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– deleted  1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 0 ▼

– [Scumeunt](#) 0 points 14 days ago +2 / -2

It's not necessarily proof, though. If that is how the fraud is happening, then it has to be reconciled with the voter rolls. Every extra ballot scan means someone who didn't vote must be marked as having voted. If they actually did that hundreds of thousands of times, then hundreds of thousands of people are on the public record as having voted, even if they didn't. *we can find this fraud*, it's where we should have been looking from the start, but no one has done the work. Point me to someone who says, "I didn't vote, the system has me marked as having voted." There is now a million dollar reward for this, we are publicizing this, tell your friends, the lazy, the black pillers, whomever in swing states to check their voter history with their town/county. The Secretaries of State are prosecuting individual voter fraud, so this is just a matter of finding people and getting prosecutions together.

I will never understand why so much energy has been spent on quixotic 'statistical analysis' when the fraud has always been a simple addition, subtraction and numbers reconciliation game.

It is, in a way, good that the fraud happened this way, because if it was vote switching my ballot counting machines, we'd be a lot more trouble. But we have to do the work to find these people.



Thus far, the three registered voters I know in swing states all have correct records with their county, but these people are out there. They have to be, or else the numbers wouldn't match up, which they do in PA, GA and MI, at least. I think AZ and WI are late in their reporting.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Quietam Unum](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

Hmmm.. We know how much a 500-sheet ream of paper weighs.

Weigh the ballots. Check against the number of ballots/votes counted by the machines.

If even only 10% were double- or triple-counted the weight-to-count ratio will be off.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Junionthepipeline](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

Like counting money at dollar tree

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

– [ProfessorRomendev](#) 9 points 14 days ago +10 / -1

There's a crack in my screen so I thought the quote came from Santa Claus

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

– [magafren](#) 9 points 14 days ago +9 / -0

My screen is fine and I also thought it was from Santa Claus

I think the stuff about believing and not believing cemented the idea

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Sand313man](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

That's a quote about existence of god..

For once I actually don't need that type of quote.

Our evidence is overwhelming. But a blind man can't see in front of him.

“Liberty is blind” is starting to sound like an oxymoron.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 52 ▼

– [Not Quite Savage](#) 52 points 14 days ago +52 / -0

You should reach out to @EdwardSolomon who has been pounding out mathematical proofs for the last few weeks and compare data.

<https://thedonald.win/p/11RO7SoK8a/>



[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 75 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 75 points 14 days ago +75 / -0

With everything going on, I haven't had the time to devote to reviewing his analysis.

But, with a quick look, I really find his linear algebra approach encouraging and highly promising.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 20 ▼

– [Not Quite Savage](#) 20 points 14 days ago +20 / -0

Thanks for the reply and for defending The Republic!

Here's more:

<https://thedonald.win/p/11RO2ilXD6/polynomic-sledgehammer-live-simu/>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 12 ▼

– [publ1us](#) 12 points 14 days ago +12 / -0

Is there anything linear algebra can't do?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)


▲ 26 ▼

– [randomuser9193](#) 26 points 14 days ago +26 / -0

Be non-linear

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [ireallylikedogs2](#)  5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

I dunno... you use linear equations to represent changes over time. If you had a sphere equation, but over time... technically you're looking at all projected planes over the entire timespan. I'd say it can do non-linear pretty decent.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [randomuser9193](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Calm down sir.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [BIGDOG72](#)  2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0


HAHA....I SEE WHAT YOU DID THERE. ;)

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 6 ▼

– [supernanny](#)  6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

Edward's work has been amazing...he actually reverse engineered the algorithm used for Michigan. I hope you find time to check it out

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 25 ▼

– [HockeyMom4Trump](#) 25 points 14 days ago +25 / -0

Thank you! Appreciate that.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 17 ▼

– [CuomoisaMassMurderer](#) 17 points 14 days ago +17 / -0

GREAT answer!

Obviously you see the data tell the story. Have you had opportunity to present it?

Sad that what it's come to is SCOTUS and Officials at every level either being scared of rioters or using that as an excuse. Our little foray into DC needs to reverse that.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [Vadernocturnus](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

You guys have done an excellent job.

My first talking point debating this info is that all the evidence IS unbiased because it's data.

No one fabricated these numbers other than the states.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 117 ▼

– [4Mitchell4](#) 117 points 14 days ago +118 / -1

Thank you for your service! I watched you. My question is will any of this evidence do any good as the Gov. and his minions have stonewalled everything! I am trying to keep the faith. Have called and emailed often to help the cause.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 121 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 121 points 14 days ago +124 / -3

Thanks! If you can help bring our data to these people who are holding out, who knows, it might help. There's no harm in trying.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 31 ▼

– [4Mitchell4](#) 31 points 14 days ago +32 / -1

I agree - I think they are too far gone for logic. All of them have sold out.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 25 ▼

– [RenandStimpy](#) 25 points 14 days ago +26 / -1

My emails to senators and representatives: "Prove to us that you haven't been bought. Show us that you support America, and our President"

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= deleted 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

▲ 1 ▼

= [Light Man X](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

There's the point. Due diligence.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 112 ▼

= [Joesniffskids](#) 112 points 14 days ago +113 / -1

Your group is the only one that I have heard that has concrete actionable evidence. What is the best way for us to help you go viral?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 198 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 198 points 14 days ago +199 / -1

If you help Parler and tweet our Rumble videos, I know for a fact it's having an impact on getting the data into the hands of decision makers. We've had many calls with state and federal legislators because of the traction from the initial video.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 32 ▼

= [Joesniffskids](#) 32 points 14 days ago +32 / -0

Thanks up voted to take this to the top!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 15 ▼

= [maga\\_mama\\_757](#) 15 points 14 days ago +15 / -0

Thank you for your hard work and the time you and your team have put into your efforts! I found yesterday's testimony and evidence compelling and hard (impossible?) to argue with, and I would think most who view it would see the same. Sharing is easy; I will start adding links to your videos to my emails to legislators. They are clear and easy to understand!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 13 ▼

= [loverat](#) 13 points 14 days ago +13 / -0

Wow I can't do much as an Aussie but I can Tweet the fuck out of the video! Thanks for helping save the Republic coz I love these American pedes <3

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [Deemike](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

Great idea. Sadly, posting on Facebook gets our accounts blocked.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 38 ▼

= deleted 38 points 14 days ago +39 / -1

▲ 7 ▼

= deleted 7 points 14 days ago +7 / -0

▲ 2 ▼

= [DianeKarinax0](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

Are there any good Discord groups that haven't been disbanded? Serious question. I'M new there and would be interested since I live in a liberal over run shit hole. Pgh Pa .

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 26 ▼

= deleted 26 points 14 days ago +26 / -0

▲ 3 ▼

= [SometimeTheWolf](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

do we have an aggregator that can compile an NG for each state so you can email them all at once?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 5 ▼

= [Allison](#) 5 points 14 days ago +6 / -1

Share the rumble videos they have done- they are all VERY good!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 63 ▼

= [ZackMorris\\_osbro](#) 63 points 14 days ago +65 / -2

How is the fact that the poll pads are connected to the internet when they're not supposed to be, going down the wrong path?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 93 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 93 points 14 days ago +95 / -2

The poll pads are supposed to be connected to the internet. That's how they connect to the secretary of state databases to verify voter data to check them in.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 42 ▼

= [ZackMorris\\_osbro](#) 42 points 14 days ago +42 / -0

So was Jovan's testimony yesterday all four show? In your opinion what was the biggest takeaway from what we saw yesterday? Thanks for this ama btw and I hope you have a safe and happy new year.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 80 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 80 points 14 days ago +80 / -0 ([edited](#))

I won't speak to his testimony or intentions. I sincerely hope that the search through absentee ballots provides hard evidence of fraud that we've shown through data has occurred.

As for the poll pads, he just didn't do the research to understand what the function of that whole process is, and what is and isn't supposed to be connected where. To that end, we were on many calls with whistleblowers and precinct, county, and state levels that helped give us the information in order to present in the diagrams in the video.

While I wish him the best of luck on the absentee ballot validation process, I hope this poll pad conversation goes away.

We're all in the same fight, and we don't want our efforts to be undercut by a conversation that hasn't been fully researched.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 18 ▼

– [Parabolical](#) 18 points 14 days ago +20 / -2

My concern is that, before this election, I was always told that these machines should NEVER be connected to the internet. It doesn't matter if they have a good reason to have changed that without letting everyone know first (so the machines can verify voter data, for example). Anyone who knows even just a little bit about technology knows that if something is connected to the internet, it's possible to be manipulated whether by hackers or even authorized personnel with bad intentions.

Even if Jovan didn't do the research and all he provided us with was proof that these devices are connected to the internet, then that's all I need to hear. The rest can be proven later, but the fact that these devices are connected at all pisses me off.

These machines should basically be insanely simple and limited abacuses. Extra bells and whistles have no purpose other than fraud.

I understand your point of view, but I like to hear all different avenues for the fraud. I can walk and chew gum at the same time.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 21 ▼

– [KekistaniMemeLord](#) 21 points 14 days ago +21 / -0

this. I don't want a single piece of equipment involved in the vote process connected to the internet.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 18 ▼

– [Parabolical](#) 18 points 14 days ago +18 / -0

No internet connectivity was always a huge lie they used to convince us that it was safe. Pretending we could always go back and count the physical ballots was another one they had to expose as a lie this election.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Whirlybot](#) 3 points 13 days ago +3 / -0

But, besides the internet, remember when the clip was floating about of the one IT guy putting flash drives on the adjudicator machines then either uploading or downloading info and walking out of the room while looking about very suspiciously? And the flash drive that was palmed/passed from Ruby to Blonde Braids to the last guy's pocket while everyone tried to look normal, but actually, looked nervous as cats?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 17 ▼

– [Edgecrusher](#) 17 points 14 days ago +18 / -1

Wasn't the point of him connecting to it via the internet to contradict the ceo of dominion's claim that you can't connect to the internet on these? I mean there's some validity to his point of connecting to it...

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [Parabological](#) 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

Exactly. All lies from Dominion, spread by our politicians, to make us dismiss any thoughts of questioning our elections.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [stjimmy92](#) 1 point 14 days ago +3 / -2

But the poll pads have nothing to do with Dominion. They're completely separate.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

– deleted 9 points 14 days ago +11 / -2

▲ 3 ▼

– [Here we go](#) 3 points 13 days ago +4 / -1

This is exactly correct. Seems like they are shitting on jovan Especially after his new vid shows even more evidence of shenanigans online.

The poll pads are online and can be used to get to other machines.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [FreedomDeliveries](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

As for the poll pads, he just didn't do the research to understand what the function of that whole process is, and what is and isn't supposed to be connected where.

That is interesting. I think it really highlights how few people really understand the way the whole election process does work, and should work. Which is a massive problem and worthy of investigation and specific changes for transparency etc imo.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 26 ▼

– [TommyLasordasBallBag](#) 26 points 14 days ago +26 / -0

I believe the meat of that testimony was the fact that he was able to hack it.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

– [Joesniffskids](#) 11 points 14 days ago +14 / -3

I believe it was. He got into a poll pad by Knowink not dominion. And ill just leave this here

<https://youtu.be/w37bW-FGiUM>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– [Allison](#) 7 points 14 days ago +7 / -0

I don't think it was for show? But where the problem comes in- voter data can be added remotely- sort of like "Rock The Vote" did- they got illegal access to modify voter rolls via agreements Stacy Abrams negotiated in GA. There's legal action being taken on her- but it happened in other states as well. It has less to do with HOW votes were counted (as the DIG presentation so eloquently showed) but more to do with voter registration integrity. It's ironic as hell that they are blocking forensic audits of machines and equipment citing voter privacy issues when the fucking Dems could access all data at will.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Dilis](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

Whether it was "for show" or not, it helped to get to the objective -- having those ballots audited!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 20 ▼

– [Star51](#) 20 points 14 days ago +20 / -0

Lmao. Just for the record up here in Canada a human being checks you in on paper, before you mark off your paper ballot and put it in a box.

In November we had the Dominion CEO on the stand saying the machines didn't and couldn't connect to the internet.

None of it passes the sniff test.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [LibertarianXian](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

paper ballot

Does a machine count the ballots in any case, or are those hand-counted?

I think we've arrived to a point in the US where a Constitutional amendment requiring paper ballots for all federal elections is necessary. I doubt it will happen since there's massive corruption in the whole process but here's to hoping.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– deleted 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

▲ 2 ▼



– [Star51](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

I set up the tables and extension cords this year. Only one laptop in the whole place. No machines. Presumably they hand count and then the person in charge inputs the data. As long as that person is being monitored and the paper ballots in chain of custody it seems pretty fool proof.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

– [Iranhasnukes](#) 11 points 14 days ago +12 / -1

Can you help to drive clarity - are you saying the barscan guy was a rouse?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 32 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 32 points 14 days ago +32 / -0

No, by no means. We just want to make sure that since we've come this far, we are very clear and consistent about the messages we are delivering.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [PNW PEPE](#) 4 points 14 days ago +6 / -2

Thank you for mentioning this. People have gotten very caught up with what Jovan said and it doesn't seem like the right angle to me. Your testimony was very compelling and I don't see how any reasonable person wouldn't want to investigate.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Monz](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

It sounded more like dominion, Hacking, etc. There might be truth in it, but it requires further investigation in the future.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 10 ▼

– [PNW PEPE](#) 10 points 14 days ago +10 / -0

All I'm saying is, this group has solidified the question we all had in our minds with day 1 of the election. Why were vote switches happening on live TV? Either it was direct human error (requires investigation for fraud) or it was programmed switching (also requires investigation for fraud). The data itself being transmitted was erroneous, you cannot possibly take away votes from a candidate... This happened to both candidates multiple times. The "accidental" direct switches from one candidate to another are weird too.

Absolutely no one has attempted to answer this fundamental question. This should be our primary focus. Prove to me that the 13K vote switch in Georgia was some kind of legit clerical error, along with every single other one of them in all the other states. No one has.

Who cares what the data says on TV if the data is crap. I just read a "Reuters fact check" on live vote switching on TV. Their explanation? Well Chris Krebs said it was secure, so it must be. Oh yeah, and they poke holes that it was a clip from 2019 not 2020 (irrelevant and once again ignores the fact that fraud likely existed then [thus is likely to exist now also], and the exact same type of vote switches are seen in a ton of states in the presidential race).

<https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-cnn-not-evidence-vote-fraud/fact-check-tv-news-clip-does-not-show-live-computerized-fraud-on-election-day-2020-idUSKBN27P2TI>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [BillsmafiaGG](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

Haha you're right. I read the whole article you linked. Weak arguments throughout. Almost every "debunk" was devoid of explanation... just a few humans quoted as saying, "that's not true."

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Monz](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

I'm with you. I'm just in the more Barnes idea of what happened. Fake physical absentee ballots with forged signatures, with the help of USPS unions.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 10 ▼

– [Here we go](#) 10 points 14 days ago +10 / -0

Cant you hack into the poll pad to get into the tabulator? Or no?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 35 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 35 points 14 days ago +37 / -2

Poll pads have no connection to tabulators -- tabulation (in the cases in Georgia, not for instance, in Arizona which does have some precinct tabulators) are done at a county level when you remove the flash drives and insert them into the tabulation machines at the county drop off point.

Poll pads check you in and mark you as "having voted".

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 13 ▼

– [Libertynfreesom4ever](#) 13 points 14 days ago +13 / -0

So flash drives could easily be swapped or just not turned in. Or corrupted .. That's a horrible system

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [BeardedNinjaPede](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

Or stolen before the election like in PA.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

– [Here we go](#) 9 points 14 days ago +9 / -0

Thanks!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [WalmartIsCommunist](#) 🇺🇸 4 points 13 days ago +4 / -0

Oh what an excellent feature to have for bad actors wanting to defraud the election by hacking. It would give them the capability to upload fraudulent check-ins, and then deliver fake ballots.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

= deleted 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

▲ 20 ▼

= [radioactivekd](#) 20 points 14 days ago +20 / -0

Wouldn't hacking into the poll pads make it possible to falsely "verify" every voter with a fake positive response?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [AmericanRaised](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

Exactly

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 41 ▼

= deleted 41 points 14 days ago +42 / -1

▲ 53 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 53 points 14 days ago +55 / -2

Yes, that's sort of the thing we use with legislators in showing shifting votes between candidates when we're doing the closed-door presentations. But in doing a public hearing like yesterday, we don't want to confuse the message by bombarding our audience with an excess of technical and complicated charts, so we try to keep it as streamlined as possible.

But, absolutely -- depending on the audience, we determine what degree of complexity we can go into the data.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

= [TheClintonHitman](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

as a veteran who has been on a combat deployment, thank you, what you have done is far more dangerous and far more important than what I have so far for this country

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 32 ▼

= [MichaelrossMD](#) 32 points 14 days ago +32 / -0

For lay idiots like me, WHY is 75% of a vote fraud? Can you explain this to me like I was trying to explain it to a child? (for a friend, obviously).

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 54 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 54 points 14 days ago +54 / -0

As we mentioned in the testimony, identification of fraud is a sequential process, where you go about identification and verification based on a series of anomalous flag detection procedures.

So, what may be an anomaly at one level, going deeper may reveal a valid explanation. It's when you go down several layers and consistently see anomalous activity, that the level of suspicion rises to the category of fraud.

75% or more is an initial indicator, that let us know to look more into that area. 90% or higher is something that is an extremely unlikely (although not impossible) breakdown of votes, which warrants a deeper investigation upon which a review of the underlying data structure then exposed further vote imbalances which rose to the level of extremely improbable occurrences and also impossible from a data structure standpoint.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 14 ▼

– [sleepydude](#) 14 points 14 days ago +15 / -1

1 person = 1 vote.

The machines count votes by a decimal point and round it in the interface.

Which means, if you give both Biden and Trump 3 votes each, worth 1.25 and 0.75 respectively, it will go up like this: \_ Biden 1 - Actually 1.25 Trump 1 - Actually 0.75 \_ Biden 3 Trump 2 \_ Biden 4 - Actually 3.75 Trump 2 - Actually 2.25

And so on.

Some votes switch and some may end up in a fake candidate and disappear.

This algorithm only kicks in after a threshold is met, so it doesn't run on all machines and give the scheme away. It only runs when Biden needs it to win.

Unfortunately for Biden it kicked in too late and after a HUGE surge of Trump votes and there was no way for the algorithm alone to get Biden over the number of votes he needed with what registered voters were left able to vote.

That's when they froze things and had to resort to fake mail ballots.

Once the fake ballots were injected, they started the machines back up.

If they injected ballots based on how many people were left in each county and not on the amount Biden needed to win then you get situations where a county has a 108% turnout, which means more people voted than there are living in the county.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– deleted 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

▲ 1 ▼

– [MichaelrossMD](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

I'm referring to their comment at the start of their presentation.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [sleepydude](#) 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

Oh, I see.

I'm not 100% on this one, but just in case Dave doesn't get around to it, I'll try to explain it how I understand it.

From what I can gather, they aren't referring to the total number of votes cast with the 75% number; I think they are talking about the total voting population of that precinct.

Voter turnout above 75% is well above the national average for any election. Following that, having them vote 90+% to a single candidate flags all irregularity markers and means it is impossible. People simply aren't that enthusiastic for a single candidate, even Donald Trump.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [loverat](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

You could get a job explaining complicated stuff to uncomplicated people. Awesome work in all your clarifications thanks pede!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [sleepydude](#) 5 points 14 days ago +6 / -1

Nationwide stats:

Voter turnout in 2016 was 59.2% which is typical.

Voter turnout this year is 66.4% - 72.1%

These precincts not only burst past the typical figure, they even blast past record figures having hit 75% turnout.

Simply not possible.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 29 ▼

– [LindaSarsourCunt](#) 29 points 14 days ago +29 / -0 ([edited](#))

I feel like these are important to ask:

What steps have you taken to attempt to disprove your claims? What are the strongest arguments being made against your assertions?

In the words of Jordan Ellenberg, it's not enough that your evidence proves your claim, it should also directly refute the negation of your claim.

I was just hoping to get an idea as to how ironclad you all have constructed your arguments.

You're doing amazing work.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– deleted 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

▲ 4 ▼

– [ireallylikedogs2](#) 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

I'm unsure if your question applies to his analysis. That type of question is usually for the proof of the theorem. He's technically using a theorem that's already been proven. His analysis is the result of said theorem or so.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [LindaSarsourCunt](#) 2 points 13 days ago +2 / -0

Perhaps it isn't the correct question I should be asking, but I would like to for them to see my question if only to strengthen their arguments.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [The Real Jameson](#) 1 point 14 days ago +2 / -1

"You're" used correctly: Smert Pede confirmed.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 0 ▼

– deleted 0 points 14 days ago +1 / -1

▲ 22 ▼

– [OrkAttackSurvivor](#) 22 points 14 days ago +23 / -1

Thank you so much for fighting for us.

How's morale?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 65 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 65 points 14 days ago +66 / -1

We're in the last stretch before the 6th, so it's all about doing everything we can before then. After that point, i'll look forward to taking a break from 20 hour days.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 12 ▼

– [disgruntled\\_patriot](#) 12 points 14 days ago +12 / -0

For you and your team and everyone else in this fight to work so tirelessly for America is nothing short of amazing and heroic. By exposing this fraud you are nothing less than American soldiers in your own right and I thank you for fighting for America just the same.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 20 ▼

– [TakeHisCoat](#) 🇺🇸 20 points 14 days ago +20 / -0

No questions, just Thank you for coming and doing our AMA. And Thank you for standing up for our constitution.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 17 ▼

– [Mad Hattie](#) 17 points 14 days ago +22 / -5

Moderators verify please?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 56 ▼

– [TrumpSteaks](#) 56 points 14 days ago +56 / -0

Just FYI, this is actually Dave Lobue (I'm Justin Mealey).

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 26 ▼

= deleted 26 points 14 days ago +26 / -0

▲ 15 ▼

= [Joshua55](#) 15 points 14 days ago +16 / -1

Why aren't the GA senators outraged over the massive avalanche of voter fraud in the GA election?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 16 ▼

= deleted 16 points 14 days ago +16 / -0

▲ 3 ▼

= [DianeKarinax0](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

How many times did we max out their phone & emails limit ?>

Not enough! Let's go , pedes and pedettes!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

▲ 7 ▼

= [ProfessorRomendev](#) 7 points 14 days ago +7 / -0

Probably because they're in on it/the GOP is telling them to shut up about it

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

= [Joshua55](#) 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

You're right. Thank God the people of GA are keeping extreme heat on them.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 13 ▼

= [rootGoose](#) 13 points 14 days ago +13 / -0

Ditto on the many thanks for you and you team's work.

Is there an overview/introduction to the analysis you would refer us to - at least to get up to speed on terminology used in your field or in the scope of this analysis?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 19 ▼

= [Brick](#) [S] 19 points 14 days ago +19 / -0

We will be putting together a more detailed overview of the methodology and approaches. But at a high level our approach is at the intersection of statistics, database architecture, and network architecture.

We use things such as:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isolation\\_forest](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isolation_forest)

Z-score pertaining to central limit theorem

and analysis on standard data processes



[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [bingobangobongo69](#) 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

Have you backtested your methodologies in previous elections (especially in Georgia which only recently introduced Dominion)? Or whether any anomalies turn up in deep red areas?

That would be useful in establishing that this methodology actually does what it purports to do.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

– [JohnCalhoun](#) 11 points 14 days ago +11 / -0

Is Rudy's claim that GA is going to move to decertify hold water?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Ep1ctetus](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

It's trending that way.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

– [nshongrui](#) 11 points 14 days ago +11 / -0

Do you see these same irregularities across other states? Specifically the precinct %'s. It could be powerful to see alongside similar states.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 25 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 25 points 14 days ago +25 / -0

There are certainly anomalies in different areas of the data across the states, but when you go to deeper layers within the data, the types and magnitude of the anomalies vary.

While the anomalies we find could very well be fraud in and of themselves, we require a certain degree of rigor and confidence before bringing our findings in front of the public.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

– [TheMoosh](#) 9 points 14 days ago +9 / -0

Thank you for all your hard work. Has any of the GA senators contacted you after the testimony for additional information? Are you scheduled to give testimony anywhere else?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [johnfist](#) 🇺🇸 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

No question, just a big thank you for standing up for what's right in this country. If we had more people like yourself we'd never be in this kind of mess.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [NewUser101](#) 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

Do you believe the blatant fraud that happened rises to the level where the Article 4 Guarantee clause where the Federal Government guarantees the States a Republican form of government would be broken if Biden attempted to be installed?

<https://www.heritage.org/constitution/#!/articles/4/essays/128/guarantee-clause>

One of the core principles is popular rule. This fraud has broken it, and IMHO would break the Union.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [Allison](#) 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

I'm a data junkie and believe the true answer lies in HOW the vote was counted and the actual ballots. Each state has to clean up their voter rolls- not you as a data analyst. I noticed you also validated (using Brennon's own statement) the excessive adjudication (almost 92% : 108k/113k) which is out of kilter when acceptable is 1/250k. Has anyone put forth any explanation for adjudication rates that high?

You analyzed the "abnormal" data dumps and it's effect on excessive %age of voter participation, As well as NEGATIVE vote counts.

Did you (or are you aware of) documented the "instances" of external adjustment? It was reported to have happened across the board (I caught Ohio with 17 unexplained adjustments from timestamp data)

While it's not a current hot button state- I want more detail on how to actually access Ohio's issue (17 of them) before we close the files on 2020 election.

Thank you in advance, and your work is phenomenal!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Quietam Unum](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

Has anyone put forth any explanation for adjudication rates that high?

Yes, Pulitzer did. Registration marks on certain ballots were misaligned so when they went through the scanners they were misread and set aside for manual adjudication.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Allison](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

So it was "intentional?"

Did Antrim County Mi report indicate that also? (I read that report and Pulitzer was not referenced?) If "everything was above board", why wasn't machine tabulation stopped and hand tabulation started?? Especially knowing or being responsible for applying the "rules" governing automated tabulation tolerances...

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Quietam Unum](#) 2 points 13 days ago +2 / -0

His GA testimony was poster here on TDWin which he details the registration marks being mis-printed on ballots for heavily Republican districts. He implied it was intentional (I think).

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Allison](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

Getting access to the ballots (so far blocked by everyone) will be the “end of dispute” moment. Problem is: once scanned and adjudicated, the previous REAL ballot doesn’t exist anymore. Only the adjudicated ballot

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

– [zettapede](#) 8 points 14 days ago +8 / -0

Have we started the fire?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [BeBest](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

The fire rises!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Parabolical](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Yes. The fire rises!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– [Parlaypede](#)  7 points 14 days ago +7 / -0

Dave did you only look at the presidential #s or did you also look at Senate info?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 17 ▼

– [Brick](#) [S] 17 points 14 days ago +17 / -0

The team is looking at senate info. We obviously have to choose our battles, and the biggest one right now is what we're doing our best to bring to light.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Dabigcasina](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Shine your light brother! Bring it all into the light!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [ArizonaDesertRat2021](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

Thanks for your work, Dave. Do you like your studies in AI at Northwestern? My son is currently an undergrad in CS and stats. He is contemplating a masters but not sure. Appreciate any advice. But if you're too busy, no worries!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [IvIA6A](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

If there's fraud and illegal ballots, I'd argue there shouldn't be two runoffs.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Cc1005](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

Great question- I would also like to know this

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– deleted 7 points 14 days ago +8 / -1

▲ 2 ▼

– [Joesniffskids](#) 2 points 14 days ago +6 / -4

I don't think you looked hard enough. He changed his name. <https://youtu.be/w37bW-FGiUM>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– [me-no-likely2](#) 7 points 14 days ago +7 / -0

Your guys' presentation was superb!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [HappyPedeInCA](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

Hi Dave, thanks for your work and testimony. I have a few questions.

You only showed graphs of >90% votes for Biden. Were there any >90% votes for Trump in GA counties/precincts?

Were there any examples of vote switching seen in 2020 in states where Trump won, or in 2016?

If you reversed the vote switching in your datasets, what would the vote result be?

Thank you.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [PlateOwner](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

Dave's testimony begins at 3:55 here:

<https://rumble.com/vcay7j-data-scientists-shocking-election-testimony.html>

Listen to this before asking him questions if you missed the presentation yesterday.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [TheNightJanitor](#) 6 points 14 days ago +6 / -0

DID THEY VOTE TO DE CERTIFY BIDEN LIKE RUDY SAID?!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– deleted 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

▲ 5 ▼

– [LiquidVapor](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

I want to thank you for your personal sacrifices in bringing about the truth. This whole process has amazed me in the worst way about how non-transparent the election process has been in these contested locations - even legislative bodies blocking evidentiary releases.

What are your thoughts on the body limiting the time to those with the data collection and evidentiary findings. Do you feel beyond the release of auditable original votes that this process has been purposefully hindered at the hearings (through time allowed to present, ect.)?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Snowflakeslayer](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

Thank you for your courage.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [stevenz27](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

do you have excel file data available for the vote tallies from all georgia counties? Have you noticed similar things at the county levels in other states??

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Lol Garrus](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

Great job yesterday, your whole team!

Quick question, whats the best path forward to overturn the current fraudulent GA election results to the correct election results which correctly show Trump as the winner?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [stevenz27](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

also, the videos are great. Might be good if you have some basic website laying out the data in some charts rather than just the video presentations. I noticed the crazy things with the vote flipping and deductions in the tally data at statewide levels a while back. But I'm much more interested in it at county levels throughout the country! This is great info! Some sort of massive overall documentation of this for counties across the US would be great!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [HocusLocus](#) 5 points 14 days ago +5 / -0

Has any greater precision to the Edison Research vote\_shares time series decimals been discovered? Is better interpolation possible? THANKS for your excellent presentation!!!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [Hanging Chad](#) 4 points 14 days ago +5 / -1

Why did you get a Masters in Marketing?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [BeBest](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Maybe it was to give insight on presenting "hard" topics to normies?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [LibertarianXian](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

Data Science is used by Marketing but it's a lot more than that. How rude!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– deleted 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

▲ 4 ▼

– [Flcentipede](#) 4 points 14 days ago +5 / -1

How many different types of fraud or other forms of cheating have you found in the 2020 election, and approximately how many votes were impacted by it?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– deleted 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

▲ 4 ▼

– [PenelopePenny](#) 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

No questions from me. Just a huge thank you.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– deleted 4 points 14 days ago +4 / -0

▲ 3 ▼

– [WakeUpWorld](#) 3 points 13 days ago +3 / -0

Do you feel like throat punching these state reps when you see them?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Susurro](#) 1 point 13 days ago +1 / -0

This is what I want to ask!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Afterthought](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

What work has been done to determine the chain of command that ordered the shutdown of the vote nation wide once Trump crossed 270 electoral votes?

(and in Georgia - water main break lie)

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [mobgrazer](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Your presentation hinged on two claims for which you offered no proof, it would be stronger if you could offer proof.

1. Vote decrements should never happen and shows flipping. If true then you should be able to count the number and magnitude of vote decrements for Trump and Biden and prove Trump's are anomalous
2. Precincts >75% suggest fraud and >90% strongly suggest it. It would be good to support this with precinct percent histograms for both candidates and in states where no fraud is alleged, and for Clinton/Obama elections. .

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [Lethalmouse](#) 2 points 13 days ago +2 / -0

He offered no proof at the time cuz of .... 1:)They were at that exact moment he was there at the meeting, hacking into the machines as the Georgia elections are in the early voting stages at this time. 2:) Can't show proof of it since they were in the systems in real time.

Its a shame that people think experts or even this inventor would make a claim and not be able to back it up with proof sometime later when they have compiled the data and have it organized and ready for court or even to send it publicly. Shame that people can't trust even a man with this kind of stature. All these people do is show proof to everything. Im tired of hearing patriots or just American humans in general trash this guy cuz he said what happened in real time and then say he is full of shit cuz of no proof at that time. People need patience. Things have to be done the right way and not get ahead of ourselves cuz that is when mistakes happen.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [mobgrazer](#) 2 points 13 days ago +2 / -0

I watched the presentation that Dave asked people to watch; it goes through data that had already been analyzed with them showing examples of results with a prepared presentation. it was not real time. He asked for feedback and question on their work. I gave feedback on how to make his presentation more credible.

He doesn't need to convince the believers, he needs to convince the skeptics. You do this by covering all the bases.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [GenericInsult](#) 3 points 14 days ago +7 / -4

Ask Me Anything

How long does it take to hard-boil an Ostrich egg?

(Hehe, didn't have a real question.... keep up the great work)

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [AnomalusCitizen7375](#) 1 point 14 days ago +2 / -1

You got me curious - <https://www.funtrivia.com/askft/Question99161.html>



[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [GenericInsult](#) 1 point 14 days ago +1 / -0

LOL

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [TheRoyalRob](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Thank you for your work on this!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [Old Timer](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

You guys did an amazing job - thank you!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= deleted 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

▲ 3 ▼

= [LincolnTrump](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Dave you the man!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [chahn1138](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

**God Bless Dave here!**

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [PlateOwner](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

*Q. How few people (minimum) do you think it took to pull off this election fraud you've discovered?*

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [bonejack](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0

Do you feel like any officials are really listening to you and taking this seriously? Other than the Trump team of course.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [knucklebuster](#) 3 points 14 days ago +3 / -0 ([edited](#))

Well Dave, great job and thank you for your hard work. Perhaps you should consider joining forces with Edward Solomon as he has developed a very rigorous method for unwinding the algorithmic portion of the voter fraud. The math is solid and should be applied to larger data sets and other states! In particular he has addressed Fulton Cty GA in this video

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3pVAKm8JqvU>

See his work on MI as well!

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gcNCxuw-LdE&t=2275s>

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 0 ▼

– [mobgrazer](#) 0 points 13 days ago +1 / -1 ([edited](#))

I've looked through Edward's work in GA and MI. IMO he is misapplying statistical tools and making claims that are not substantiated. He needs cross checks, counterfactual analysis, and a cleaner presentation with fewer math and speaking errors to convince skeptics.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [MMAGIC](#) 2 points 14 days ago +2 / -0

Appreciated your comments.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

## Welcome to The Donald!

Welcome to the forum of choice for The President of The United States, Donald Trump!

Be advised this forum is for serious supporters of President Trump. We have discussions, memes, AMAs, and more. We are not politically correct.

## Our Rules

### Trump Supporters

Our community is a high-energy rally for supporters of President Trump.

### High Energy

No forum sliding, consensus cracking, topic dilution, [etc.](#)

### No Racism

No racism, including slurs, non-factual content, and general unfounded bigotry.

### No Doxing

No doxxing of yourself or others, including revealing PII of non-public figures, as well as addresses, phone numbers, etc. of public figures.

### Follow the Law

No posts or comments that violate laws in your jurisdiction or the United States.

## No Advertising

Promoting products, fundraising, or spamming web properties in which you have an interest is not permitted.

## Questions and Concerns

All moderation questions and concerns should be expressed [privately to the moderators.](#)

## Be Vigilant

You represent the movement against communism - your posts and comments may become news.

## Election Information

### Campaign Information

- [Volunteer for Trump](#)
  - [Donate to Trump](#)
  - [Buy Trump Merch](#)
  - [Join a Trump Coalition](#)
  - [Fundraise for Trump](#)
- 

### Voting Information

- [Register to Vote](#)
- [Verify Your Voter Registration](#)
- [Military & Overseas Citizens](#)
- [USA.gov Voter Resources](#)

## Moderators

- [Shadowman3001](#)
- [Ivaginaryfriend](#)
- [Doggos](#)
- [CovfefeBucks](#)
- [zettapede](#)
- [pray\\_for\\_kekistan](#)
- [Katfish29](#)
- [mivvan](#)
- [and 29 more...](#)

[Message the Moderators](#)

[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

v1.2.3.0 - 6whbw ([status](#))



Copyright © thedonald.win 2021

[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

